See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/11987523](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/11987523_Ingestion_of_Gelatin_Has_Differential_Effect_on_Bone_Mineral_Density_and_Body_Weight_in_Protein_Undernutrition?enrichId=rgreq-fc78171635d4d9fcee12ad49ab9dfe97-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzExOTg3NTIzO0FTOjEwNTAzNTgwNjMzMjEwODhAMTYyNzQzNjI4NTA3OA%3D%3D&el=1_x_2&_esc=publicationCoverPdf)

# [Ingestion of Gelatin Has Differential Effect on Bone Mineral Density and](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/11987523_Ingestion_of_Gelatin_Has_Differential_Effect_on_Bone_Mineral_Density_and_Body_Weight_in_Protein_Undernutrition?enrichId=rgreq-fc78171635d4d9fcee12ad49ab9dfe97-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzExOTg3NTIzO0FTOjEwNTAzNTgwNjMzMjEwODhAMTYyNzQzNjI4NTA3OA%3D%3D&el=1_x_3&_esc=publicationCoverPdf) Body Weight in Protein Undernutrition.

**Article** in Journal of Nutritional Science and Vitaminology · March 2001 DOI: 10.3177/jnsv.47.84 · Source: PubMed



## Ingestion of Gelatin Has Differential Effect on Bone Mineral Density and Body Weight in Protein Undernutrition

Yoh-ichi KOYAMA<sup>1,\*</sup>, Arisa HIROTA<sup>1</sup>, Hidenori MORI<sup>2</sup>, Hidenari TAKAHARA<sup>3</sup>, Kumiko KUWABA<sup>1</sup>, Masashi KUSUBATA<sup>1</sup>, Youko MATSUBARA<sup>1</sup>, Shohei KASUGAI<sup>4</sup>, Masato ITOH<sup>5</sup> and Shinkichi IRIE<sup>1</sup>

 $1$  Nippi Research Institute of Biomatrix, Nippi Inc., Tokyo 120-8601, Japan

 $2$  Department of Animal Science, School of Agriculture, Ibaraki University, Ibaraki 300–0332, Japan

 $^3$  Molecular Biology and Biochemistry, Department of Applied Biological Resource Sciences,

School of Agriculture, Ibaraki University, Ibaraki 300-0332, Japan

4 Masticatory Function Control, Graduate School, Tokyo Medical and

Dental University, Tokyo 113-0034, Japan

<sup>5</sup> Fuji Factory, Nippi Inc, Tokyo 120–8601, Japan

(Received May 16, 2000)

**Summary** Malnutrition, particularly protein undernutrition, contributes to the occurrence of osteoporotic fracture by lowering bone mass. In this study, the effects of dietary pro tein on bone mineral density and body weight in protein undernutrition were compared be tween gelatin and milk casein. When mice were fed for 10wk with a low protein diet con taining 10% casein or 6% casein+4% gelatin, there was no significant difference in the final body weight between the 6% casein+4% gelatin group and the 10% casein group. In con trast, bone mineral content and bone mineral density of the femur were significantly higher in the  $6\%$  casein $+4\%$  gelatin group than in the  $10\%$  casein group. Bone mineral content and bone mineral density did not differ significantly in 14% protein groups between 14% casein and  $6\%$  casein  $+8\%$  gelatin. These results suggest that gelatin has differential effects on bone mineral density and body weight in protein undernutrition.

Key Words bone mineral density, gelatin, milk casein, protein undernutrition

Malnutrition, particularly protein undernutrition, could be detrimental to the conservation of bone in tegrity with ageing, and reduced protein ingestion in hospitalised elderly patients contributes both to lower bone mineral density (BMD) of the femoral neck and to the high incidence of hip fracture. Nutritional supple mentation normalising the protein intake will reduce the occurrence of osteoporotic fracture and improve clinical outcome after hip fracture  $(1)$ . A number of protein sources have been used for protein supplementa tion including milk casein and gelatin. Milk casein con tains all the essential amino acids. On the other hand, gelatin lacks tryptophan, an essential amino acid, and the amount of other essential amino acids is small (2). Nevertheless, it has been reported that the ingestion of gelatin has beneficial effects on osteoarthritis (3), nail defects  $(4, 5)$ , hair growth  $(6)$ , and the rate of metabolism (7). In this study, we investigated the effect of inges tion of gelatin and casein on BMD of mice. We have found that gelatin has differential effects on body weight and BMD in protein undernutrition.

#### **Experimental**

Animals and diets. Male BALB/cA mice 6wk old were purchased from Japan Clea Inc. (Tokyo, Japan) and maintained in the laboratory animal facilities of Ibaraki  University for 10wk. Mice were divided into 4 groups so that the average body weight  $(23.8-24.1)$ g) did not differ significantly among groups. Each experimental group consisted of 14 mice that were divided into two cages (7 mice per cage). The protocol of this research on animals was approved by the animal welfare committee of Nippi Inc.

Basic powder diet was AIN-93M purchased from Oriental Yeast Co., Ltd. (Tokyo, Japan). AIN-93M con tains 14% milk casein. Low protein diet was prepared by lowering the content of casein to 10% (abbreviated as 10C). Gelatin isolated from bovine bone was obtained from Nippi Inc. (Tokyo, Japan). 4% or 8% gelatin powder was added to the 6% casein diet to prepare a 10% or 14% protein diet  $(6C+4G$  and  $6C+8G$ , respectively). Cornstarch was used to compensate for the de crease in weight of protein. Calcium content was  $30 \,\text{mg}/100 \,\text{g}$  for casein and less than  $4.5 \,\text{mg}/100 \,\text{g}$  for gelatin. Net protein content was 86% for casein and 83% for gelatin.

Measurement of bone mineral density. Mice were killed by cervical dislocation. The right femur was iso lated and kept in 70% ethanol until used. BMD was measured by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) for small animals (DCS-600R, Aloka Co., Tokyo, Japan). From the proximal end to distal end, the femur was di vided into 20 sections, and BMD of each section was measured. Bone mineral content (BMC) of the femur \* E-mail; ykoyama@nippi-inc .co.jp

represents the total of BMC at all sections. Diameter of the distal end and length of the femur were measured with an engineer's micrometer.

Statistics. F-test was employed to assess differences in standard deviation (SD). If SD differed significantly, Welch's t-test was employed to assess differences in the mean, whereas Student's t-test was used when SD did not differ significantly.  $p<0.05$  was considered significant.

### Results and Discussion

Effects on body weight and total food intake are sum marized in Table 1. Final body weight did not differ sig nificantly between 10C and 6C+4G and between 14C and 6C+8G though body weight gain and %increase was slightly smaller in the gelatin-supplemented groups. Total food intake per mouse during 10 weeks of breeding did not differ evidently among the 4 groups. Table 2 summarizes the size, BMC and BMD of the femur. There was no significant difference in weight, length or thickness of the femur between 10C and 6C+4G. In contrast, BMC was significantly higher in 6C+4G than in 10C. BMD at the femoral neck (position 7) and at the middle of the femur (position 9) were also significantly higher in 6C+4G than in 10C, weight, length and thickness of the femur in 14C and 6C+8G were similar to those in 10C or 6C+4G. BMC in 14C and 6C+8G were significantly higher than that of 10C and did not differ from that in 6C+4G. These results suggest that ingestion of gelatin has an up-regulating effect on BMC and BMD of the femur in protein under nutrition, and that this effect is not associated with an increase in femoral size.

Dietary ingestion of protein is essential to improve protein undernutrition. It is well-known that BMD in creases when body weight becomes higher (8). However, this is not the case in the present study be cause body weight did not differ significantly between 6C+4G and 10C but BMC and BMD were significantly higher in 6C+4G than 10C. Significantly higher BMC and BMD in 6C+4G can not be explained by a differ ence in calcium content or net protein content of a pro tein source, because calcium content was evidently higher in casein (30mg/100g) than in gelatin (less than  $4.5 \text{ mg}/100 \text{ g}$ , and because net content of protein was slightly lower for gelatin (83%) than casein (86%). Therefore, the present study clearly suggests that gela tin has differential effects on BMD and body weight par ticularly in protein undernutrition.

In 14% protein groups (14C and 6C+8G), gelatin supplementation did not result in a higher BMC or BMD. BMC and BMD of 14C or 6C+8G were higher than those of 10C and comparable to those of  $6C+4G$ . Thus, it seems possible that the effect of gelatin is evi dent in protein undernutrition but is not observed clearly when BMC or BMD is high with sufficient sup plementation of proteins.

Milk casein contains all the essential amino acids, while gelatin lacks some essential amino acid (2). Therefore, it is unlikely that the effect of gelatin on BMD resulted from essential amino acids supplementation. Collagen contains a large amount of hydroxyproline, which is rarely found in other protein (2). However, hy droxyproline derived from orally administered gelatin does not seem to be utilized directly to synthesize hy droxyproline in femoral collagen, because hydroxypro line in collagen is post-translationally modified from proline and is not synthesized from orally administered hydroxyproline (9,10). Thus, the effects of gelatin on BMD can not be attributed to a supplement of essential amino acids or hydroxyproline.

Oesser et al. have recently reported that orally admin

Group					Initial body weight (g) Final body weight (g) Body weight gain <sup>a</sup> (g) % Increase <sup>b</sup> Total food intake (g/mouse)
10C	$24.0 \pm 1.3$	$32.6 \pm 2.7$	8.6	36	143
$6C+4G$	$24.1 \pm 0.7$	$31.8 \pm 1.8$	7.7	32	144
14C	$23.8 \pm 0.9$	$34.1 \pm 2.6$	10.3	43	145
$6C + 8G$	$23.9 \pm 0.7$	$32.6 \pm 1.5$	8.7	36	146

Table 1. Effects on body weight and total food intake.

Values are Mean $\pm$ SD for initial body weight and final body weight.

a: (mean of final body weight)-(mean of initial body weight),  $b$ : (body weight gain/mean of initial body weight) $\times$ 100.

Group				Weight (mg) Length (cm) Thickness (cm) BMC (mg) BMD at section $7^a$ (mg/cm <sup>2</sup> ) BMD at section $9^b$ (mg/cm <sup>2</sup> )	
10C	$6C+4G$ $72.0\pm2.9$	$71.5 \pm 4.0$ $1.39 \pm 0.02$ $0.25 \pm 0.02$ $1.39 \pm 0.02$ $0.24 \pm 0.01$	$20.5 \pm 1.7$ $22.5 \pm 1.8^*$	$29.5 \pm 2.5$ $31.4 \pm 2.7^*$	$29.9 \pm 3.3$ $32.2 \pm 2.3^*$
14C		$72.8 \pm 4.3$ $1.39 \pm 0.01$ $0.24 \pm 0.01$ $6C+8G$ $72.2\pm3.1$ $1.39\pm0.02$ $0.25\pm0.01$	$22.5 \pm 1.7$ $22.5 \pm 1.7$	$30.7 \pm 2.0$ $30.9 \pm 2.3$	$32.5 \pm 2.9$ $31.6 \pm 1.6$

Table 2. Size, weight and mineral content of femur.

Values are Mean ± SD.

BMC: total bone mineral content per femur, BMD: bone mineral density.

<sup>a</sup>: femoral neck, <sup>b</sup>: middle of the femur,  $^*p<0$ 

istered gelatin hydrolysate accumulates to cartilage specifically (11). Their study suggests that gelatin-de rived peptides in blood circulate the body. Thus, it is pos sible that gelatin-derived peptides reached the femur and promoted the deposition of bone mineral or inhib ited degradation of bone. Interaction of osteoblasts or osteoclasts with extracellular matrix plays an impor tant role in bone remodeling. For example, expression of alkaline phosphatase in osteoblasts is inhibited by blocking the binding of collagen to  $\alpha_2\beta_1$  integrin (12). Therefore, it seems possible that gelatin peptides, which were derived from orally administered gelatin, affect bone remodeling by binding to integrin of osteoblasts or osteoclasts, thus resulting in an increased BMC and BMD in the femur. Alternatively, gelatin peptides might inhibit the activity of enzymes. Actually, gelatin-derived peptides could be an inhibitor of angiotensin I-convert ing enzyme (13). It is tempting to speculate that gelatin peptides could inhibit a matrix metalloproteinase which cleaves bone collagen and subsequently suppresses degradation of bone. Further study is required to eluci date the mechanism of BMD enhancement by digested gelatin peptides.

In summary, the present study suggests that gelatin has an up-regulating effect on BMC and BMD, whose mechanism may differ from that of casein in protein undernutrition.

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1) Tkatch L, Rapin CH, Rizzoli R, Slosman D, Nydegger V, Vasey H, Bonjour JP. 1992. Benefits of oral protein supplementation in elderly patients with fracture of the proximal femur. J Am Coll Nutr 11: 519-525.
- 2) Miller EJ. 1984. Chemistry of the collagens and their distribution. In: Extracellular Matrix Biochemistry (Piez

KA, Reddi AH, eds), p 41-81, Elsevier, New York.

- 3) Adam M. 1991. Welche Wirkung haben Gelatinepräparate? Therapiewoche 38: 2456-2461.
- 4) Schwimmer M, Mulinos MG. 1957. Salutary effects of gelatin on nail defects in normal subjects. Antibio Med Clin Ther 4: 403-407.
- 5) Rosenberg S, Oster KA, Kallos A, Burroughs W 1957. Further studies in the use of gelatin in the treatment of brittle nails. Arch Dermat Syph 76: 330-335.
- 6) Scala J, Hollies NRS, Sucher KB 1976. Effect of daily gelatine ingestion on human scalp hair. Nutr Rep Int 13: 579-592.
- 7) Rapport D. 1926. The specific dynamic action of gelatin hydrolysates. J Biol Chem 71: 75-86.
- 8) Wardlaw GM. 1996. Putting body weight and osteoporosis into perspective. Am *J* Clin Nutr  $63(3 \text{ Suppl})$ : 4335-4365.
- 9) Stetten MR. 1944. The metabolism of proline with the aid of deuterium and isotopic nitrogen. J Biol Chem 153: 113-132.
- 10) Stetten MR. 1949. Aspects of the metabolism of hydrox yproline studied with the aid of isotopic nitrogen. J Biol Chem 181: 31-37.
- 11) Oesser S, Adam M, Babel W, Seifert J. 1999. Oral ad ministration of  ${}^{14}C$  labeled gelatin hydrolysate leads to an accumulation of radioactivity in cartilage of mice (C57/BL). JNutr 129: 1891-1895.
- 12) Takeuchi Y, Nakayama K, Matsumoto T. 1996. Differentiation and cell surface expression of transform ing growth factor-receptors are regulated by interac tion with matrix collagen in murine osteoblastic cells. J Biol Chem 271: 3938-3944.
- 13) Oshima G, Shimabukuro H, Nagasawa K. 1979. Peptide inhibitors of angiotensin I-converting enzyme in digests of gelatin by bacterial collagenase. Biochim Biophys Acta 566: 128-137.